

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 01/05/2000 34645-00488USPX 1323 Michael Meyer 09/478,168 **EXAMINER** 04/19/2004 38065 7590 BLOUNT, STEVEN ERICSSON INC. 6300 LEGACY DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER M/S EVR C11 PLANO, TX 75024 2661

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED ST

## **S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
		Г		
		L		EXAMINER
		Г	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		, L	ATT OUT	1/
			DATE MAILED:	16
		<b>EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECO</b>	RD	
All participants (applican	nt, applicant's representa	ative, PTO personnel):	_	
(1) M	- Ludwig	(co-inventor) (3) Mr. )	mith	
(2) M	r. Blount	_ '	<del></del>	
		(4)		
Date of interview	,			
		en to applicant applicant's representative).		
exhibit shown or demons	stration conducted: LI \	res 🕱 No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement 🔲 was read	ched with respect to som	ne or all of the claims in question. X was not reached.		
Claims discussed:	Sugar fel	claim 50 ( See oftenham	.+)	
	00	Claim 50 ( See attachme 5,974,028 (Ramak	<u> </u>	
dentification of prior art o	discussed: US PU	J, 177,028 (Ramak	(iShnan)	
				<del></del>
Description of the genera	I nature of what was agr	reed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comm	ients:	
Much of +			<b>)</b>	110
0: - 10	A (		Lines 10	-401 especially
LINS (U	-II. Appli	THE DEATERS OF THE PARTY OF THE	2rm "(0)	t" in line lo
MCans 1	terally "105	It's and that the term	delay c	annot be
imples or	- Inferred fro	on it The examinar Istal	He world	courted office
۱ A fuller description, if nec ttached Also where no	cessary, and a copy of the	ne amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed	would render the	claims allowable must be examin
		ts which would render the claims allowable is available, a a separate record of the substance of the interview.	155W	e Before issuity the NexT
nless the paragraph belo	ow has been checked to	indicate to the contrary A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPON	D NSE TO THE LAS	Hica Action.
VAIVED AND MOST INC	LUDE THE SUBSTANC	CE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse seen one month from this interview date to provide a stater	side of this form)	If a recognice to the fact Office
☐ 2. Since the examin	ner's interview summary	above (including any attachments) reflects a complete re	esponse to each o	of the chiections, rejections and
requirements tha	ments of the last Office	last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separat	<ol><li>this completed t</li></ol>	form is considered to fulfill the
OUA I ADOVE IS AI	ə∪ UI <del>BURB</del> Q.	<del>/ 1</del>	$\leftarrow Ko$	

Examiner's Signature

## SUGGESTED CLAIMS

50. (New) A method of controlling a data unit oriented communication between a sender and a receiver operating in accordance with a predetermined communication protocol, said method comprising the steps of:

dividing, by the sender, an amount of data to be sent into a plurality of data units having a structure determined by the protocol;

transmitting initial data units from the sender to the receiver;

acknowledging, by the receiver, correct receipt of the initial data units by returning acknowledgment data units to the sender;

detecting an apparent failure of the receiver to receive an initial data unit;

in response to detecting the apparent failure, adapting by the sender, flow control parameters to transmit subsequent data units in accordance with a data unit loss response procedure;

retransmitting, by the sender, the data unit that the receiver apparently failed to receive;

subsequently receiving at the sender, an acknowledgment data unit indicating that the data unit that the receiver apparently failed to receive was correctly received by the receiver;

determining from the received acknowledgment data unit, whether the correctly received data unit was the initial data unit or the retransmitted data unit;

upon determining that the correctly received data unit was the retransmitted data unit, continuing to transmit subsequent data units in accordance with the data unit loss response procedure; and

upon determining that the correctly received data unit was the initial data unit, adapting by the sender, the flow control parameters to transmit subsequent data units in accordance with an excessive delay response procedure.

51. The method of claim 50, wherein the predetermined communication protocol is the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), and the step of adapting the flow control parameters to transmit subsequent data units in accordance with a